

Message

From: Fleisig, Erica [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=57317CE4C3234AFE86380BEAB9C70BD9-EFLEISIG]
Sent: 6/8/2022 8:56:13 PM
To: Sengco, Mario [Sengco.Mario@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Sengco, Mario shared "ND WQS 2021 Disapproval Letter 5-12-2022 draft TF&AT comments (1)_OST Comments" with you.

I think you could leave it up but reply all to note that you've shared it with R8 so anyone (ahem Kathryn) please let us know if you make additional edits. I did just flag Joe to look at something for me.

Erica Fleisig
Team Leader, Regional Water Quality Standards Branch
Office of Science and Technology, U.S. EPA
(202) 566-1057

From: Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:52 PM
To: Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sengco, Mario shared "ND WQS 2021 Disapproval Letter 5-12-2022 draft TF&AT comments (1)_OST Comments" with you.

I think this plan makes sense. I'll download the file with OST's comments and transmit to Holly with the messages below. Do you think I should then stop sharing the document on my OneDrive to make sure people don't mistakenly go in, add comments, and we miss it?

I'll follow up with Holly tomorrow to ask what she thought about the plan and share the timeline if she doesn't already have one and would like an example.

Sound ok?

Mario

From: Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:46 PM
To: Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sengco, Mario shared "ND WQS 2021 Disapproval Letter 5-12-2022 draft TF&AT comments (1)_OST Comments" with you.

Assuming we won't get anything from Kathryn today (not sure why, you've given plenty of notice, but I don't see her in there), maybe something like:

- Share again with R8, noting that it doesn't have all OST edits yet because we'll need Kathryn, Sara, maybe Betsy, probably not Deborah
- After Andrew and Judy do their quick review, assuming we might hear from Kathryn at some point and then share with Tom and Diane
- After Tom and Diane, share with Sara and maybe Betsy. Confirm Deborah won't need to review.
- After that point, R8 can sign?

Here is a schedule Hannah worked up for a R2 disapproval we're working on, in case it's helpful:

Task	Start	End	
Staff Review	May 17, 2022	June 3, 2022	
Incorporate Edits	June 6, 2022	June 8, 2022	
BC Review	June 9, 2022	June 13, 2022	(Corey, Shari, Diane)
Incorporate Edits	June 14, 2022	June 14, 2022	
DD Review	June 15, 2022	June 22, 2022	(Sara, Virginia)
Incorporate Edits	June 23, 2022	June 24, 2022	
OD Review	June 27, 2022	July 8, 2022	(Deborah, Alyssa, Javier) (Deborah to alert Navis)
Incorporate Edits	July 11, 2022	July 15, 2022	

Disapproval Complete and Ready for Transmission: Monday, July 18, 2022

Erica Fleisig
Team Leader, Regional Water Quality Standards Branch
Office of Science and Technology, U.S. EPA
(202) 566-1057

From: Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:43 PM
To: Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sengco, Mario shared "ND WQS 2021 Disapproval Letter 5-12-2022 draft TF&AT comments (1)_OST Comments" with you.

So the next step is to incorporate OST's comments and share the next version and then it will go to Andrew (and Judy) for a quick review. I think it will then go back around for sequential review up the management chain. I tried to call Holly to confirm and ask for a timeline but no response. I'll try again later.

Mario

From: Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:36 PM
To: Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sengco, Mario shared "ND WQS 2021 Disapproval Letter 5-12-2022 draft TF&AT comments (1)_OST Comments" with you.

Remind me of next steps, we have until mid-July before the 60-day NOI is up, right? And this would need to go up through Sara's review (did Corey indicate one way or another?) And do we still need Kathryn's review? Some sort of schedule to get from now to mid-July might be helpful, with the necessary regional and OGC reviews (I think we'd want Tom and Diane to review).

Erica Fleisig
Team Leader, Regional Water Quality Standards Branch
Office of Science and Technology, U.S. EPA
(202) 566-1057

From: Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:31 PM
To: Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Sengco, Mario shared "ND WQS 2021 Disapproval Letter 5-12-2022 draft TF&AT comments (1)_OST Comments" with you.

I hadn't considered that. We were focused on OST. Holly is eager to see the comments, so I'm wondering if we can share what we have now and let her know we need to close with OGC. Or I can ask her if she has separately coordinated OGC review through Erin. What do you think?

Mario

From: Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:23 PM

To: Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Sengco, Mario shared "ND WQS 2021 Disapproval Letter 5-12-2022 draft TF&AT comments (1)_OST Comments" with you.

Hi there, I am at the enclosure, should be done reviewing soon. What is the plan for OGC review? I've flagged one thing for Tom Glazer so far.

Erica Fleisig
Team Leader, Regional Water Quality Standards Branch
Office of Science and Technology, U.S. EPA
(202) 566-1057

From: Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>

Sent: Monday, June 6, 2022 8:42 AM

To: Buffo, Corey <Buffo.Corey@epa.gov>; Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>; Beaman, Joe <Beaman.Joe@epa.gov>; Gallagher, Kathryn <Gallagher.Kathryn@epa.gov>; Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>

Subject: Sengco, Mario shared "ND WQS 2021 Disapproval Letter 5-12-2022 draft TF&AT comments (1)_OST Comments" with you.




Sengco, Mario shared a file with you

If you haven't had a chance to review this draft disapproval letter, please do, especially what it says about the remedy. We indicated to R8 that we would send them back comments by this Wednesday (6/8). If folks feel we need to have a meeting (just us and/or with R8), let me know and I can look for time. Mario



[ND WQS 2021 Disapproval Letter 5-12-2022 draft TF&AT comments \(1\)_OST Comments](#)

 This link only works for the direct recipients of this message.

Open



[Privacy Statement](#)